

Kensington & Chelsea 20mph pilots

14 December 2018

<https://www.rbkc.gov.uk/parking-transport-and-streets/managing-traffic-and-transport/20mph-pilot-scheme-proposal>

About the London Cycling Campaign

London Cycling Campaign (LCC) is a charity with more than 20,000 supporters of whom over 11,000 are fully paid-up members. We speak up on behalf of everyone who cycles or wants to cycle in Greater London; and we speak up for a greener, healthier, happier and better-connected capital.

This response was developed with input from LCC's borough groups.

Comments on this scheme:

This scheme is supported.

20mph speed limits are proven ways to increase road safety, particularly for the most vulnerable.

If these pilot schemes do not cause major upheaval in the borough, then they should be rapidly rolled out further. However it is worth noting that 20mph signage alone is not enough to make a street 20mph.

Kensington & Chelsea should, as per the Mayor's Transport Strategy, pursue a course of creating "Healthy Streets" where motor traffic dominance, volumes and speeds are reduced; where space is prioritised for walking, cycling and public transport rather than private motor vehicles; and where 20mph is achieved wherever necessary through either redesigning the street physically to reduce speeds or through enforcement.

General points about infrastructure schemes:

- LCC requires infrastructure schemes to be designed to accommodate growth in cycling. Providing space for cycling is a more efficient use of road space than providing space for driving private motor vehicles, particularly for journeys of 5km or less. In terms of providing maximum efficiency for space and energy use, walking, cycling, then public transport are key.
- As demonstrated by the success of recent Cycle Superhighways and mini-Holland projects etc., people cycle when they feel safe. For cycling to become mainstream, a network of high-quality, direct routes separate from high volumes and/or speeds of motor vehicle traffic is required to/from all key destinations and residential areas in an area. Schemes should be planned, designed and implemented to maximise potential to increase journeys – with links to nearby amenities, residential centres, transport hubs considered from the outset.

- Spending money on cycling infrastructure has been shown to dramatically boost health outcomes in an area. Spending on cycling schemes outranks all other transport modes for return on investment according to a DfT study. Schemes which promote cycling meet TfL's "Healthy Streets" checklist. A healthy street is one where people choose to cycle.
- All schemes should be designed to enable people of all ages and abilities to cycle, including disabled people.
- Evidence from TfL and from many schemes in London, the UK and worldwide shows the economic benefits, including to businesses, to be found from enabling a wider range of people to cycle more. Further evidence shows how cycling schemes also benefit air quality and reduce climate changing emissions, as well as improving resident health outcomes and reducing inactivity, as mentioned above.
- LCC wants, as a condition of funding, all highway development designed to London Cycling Design Standards (LCDS), with a Cycling Level of Service (CLOS) rating of 70 or above, with all "critical issues" eliminated.