

# London Cycling Campaign response to Hackney Central London Cycle Grid Queensbridge Road Section between Hackney Road and Whiston Road

27 September 2019

https://consultation.hackney.gov.uk/streetscene/central-london-cycle-grid/consult\_view/

## **About the London Cycling Campaign**

London Cycling Campaign (LCC) is a charity with more than 20,000 supporters of whom over 11,000 are fully paid-up members. We speak up on behalf of everyone who cycles or wants to cycle in Greater London; and we speak up for a greener, healthier, happier and better-connected capital.

This response was developed with input from LCC's borough groups.

#### General comments on this scheme:

- This scheme is supported, with some caveats as detailed below.
- We fully support the response of our local borough group, which contains more specific detail.

## Specific points about this scheme:

- The section of the cycle track opposite Kent Street which is replaced by a mandatory lane will dramatically reduce the amenity of these proposals and ensure far fewer people are enabled to cycle by this scheme. As a minimum, the mandatory lane must be provided with semi-segregated physical separation from the carriage. However, other options are clearly available including, as Hackney Cycling Campaign suggest, moving the docks to the end of Kent Street as part of a modal filter there (which would also avoid Kent Street becoming a more widely used motor traffic through route after Dunloe Street is filtered).
- Given TfL's Strategic Cycling Analysis, it is clear that both Hackney Road and
  Queensbridge Road are priority corridors for cycling in the area and both will
  require high-quality schemes. It also means the junction of the two should be
  designed both for future cycle tracks/scheme on Hackney Road, and to feature a
  higher level of separation of cycling and motor traffic flows, to enable more people
  to cycle here in comfort and safety.
- Retaining parking so close to the mouth of Horatio Street at this junction also risks worsening conflict between modes, and its removal should be considered.

### **General points about infrastructure schemes:**

- The Mayor's Transport Strategy relies on a growth in cycle trips to keep London moving. This means infrastructure schemes must be designed to accommodate growth in cycling. Providing space for cycling is a more efficient use of road space than providing space for driving private motor vehicles, particularly for journeys of 5km or less. In terms of providing maximum efficiency for space and energy use, walking, cycling, then public transport are key.
- As demonstrated by the success of recent Cycle Superhighways and mini-Holland projects etc., people cycle when they feel safe. For cycling to become mainstream and enable all ages and abilities to cycle, a network of high-quality, direct routes separate from high volumes and/or speeds of motor vehicle traffic is required to/from all key destinations and residential areas in an area. Schemes should be planned, designed and implemented to maximise potential to increase journeys – with links to nearby amenities, residential centres, transport hubs considered from the outset.
- Spending money on cycling infrastructure has been shown to dramatically boost health outcomes in an area. Spending on cycling schemes outranks all other transport modes for return on investment according to a DfT study. Schemes which promote cycling meet TfL's "Healthy Streets" checklist. A healthy street is one where people choose to cycle.
- All schemes should be designed to enable people of all ages and abilities to cycle, including disabled people.
- Evidence from TfL and from many schemes in London, the UK and worldwide shows
  the economic benefits, including to businesses, to be found from enabling a wider
  range of people to cycle more. Further evidence shows how cycling schemes also
  benefit air quality and reduce climate changing emissions, as well as improving
  resident health outcomes and reducing inactivity, as mentioned above.
- LCC wants, as a condition of funding, all highway development designed to London Cycling Design Standards (LCDS), with a Cycling Level of Service (CLoS) rating of 70 or above, with all "critical issues" eliminated. Above 2,000 Passenger Car Unit (PCUs) motor vehicle movements per day, or 20mph motor traffic speeds, cycling should be physically separated from motor traffic.